

THE RELATION BETWEEN ANN-CATEGORIES AND RING CATEGORIES

Nguyen Tien Quang, Nguyen Thu Thuy and Che Thi Kim Phung
Hanoi National University of Education

April 7, 2009

Abstract

There are different categorizations of the definition of a *ring* such as *Ann-category* [6], *ring category* [2],... The main result of this paper is to prove that every axiom of the definition of a *ring category*, without the axiom $x_0 = y_0$, can be deduced from the axiomatics of an *Ann-category*.

1 Introduction

Categories with monoidal structures $\oplus, \otimes$ (also called *categories with distributivity constraints*) were presented by Laplaza [3]. M. Kapranov and V.Voevodsky [2] omitted requirements of the axiomatics of Laplaza which are related to the commutativity constraints of the operation $\otimes$ and presented the name *ring categories* to indicate these categories.

To approach in an other way, monoidal categories can be “smoothed” to become a *category with group structure*, when they are added the definition of invertible objects (see Laplaza [4], Saavedra Rivano [9]). Now, if the back ground category is a *groupoid* (i.e., each morphism is an isomorphism) then we have *monoidal category group-like* (see A. Frölich and C. T. C. Wall [1], or a *Gr-category* (see H. X. Sinh [11]). These categories can be classified by $H^3(\Pi, A)$. Each Gr-category $\mathcal{G}$ is determined by 3 invariants: The group Π of classes of congruence objects, Π -module A of automorphisms of the unit 1, and an element $\bar{h} \in H^3(\Pi, A)$, where h is induced by the associativity constraint of $\mathcal{G}$.

In 1987, in [6], N. T. Quang presented the definition of an *Ann-category*, as a categorization of the definition of rings, when a symmetric Gr-category (also called Pic-category) is equipped with a monoidal structure $\otimes$. In [8], [7], Ann-categories and *regular* Ann-categories, developed from the ring extension problem, have been classified by, respectively, Mac Lane ring cohomology [5] and Shukla algebraic cohomology [10].

The aim of this paper is to show clearly the relation between the definition of an *Ann-category* and a *ring category*.

For convenience, let us recall the definitions. Moreover, let us denote AB or $A.B$ instead of $A \otimes B$.

2 Fundamental definitions

Definition 2.1. The axiomatics of an Ann-category

An *Ann-category* consists of:

- i) A groupoid $\mathcal{A}$ together with two bifunctors $\oplus, \otimes : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$.
- ii) A fixed object $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ together with naturality constraints a^+, c, g, d such that $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus, a^+, c, (0, g, d))$

is a Pic-category.

iii) A fixed object $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ together with naturality constraints a, l, r such that $(\mathcal{A}, \otimes, a, (1, l, r))$ is a monoidal $\mathcal{A}$ -category.

iv) Natural isomorphisms $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{A,X,Y} : A \otimes (X \oplus Y) \longrightarrow (A \otimes X) \oplus (A \otimes Y)$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_{X,Y,A} : (X \oplus Y) \otimes A \longrightarrow (X \otimes A) \oplus (Y \otimes A)$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(Ann-1) For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the pairs $(L^A, \check{L}^A), (R^A, \check{R}^A)$ determined by relations:

$$\begin{aligned} L^A &= A \otimes - & R^A &= - \otimes A \\ \check{L}^A_{X,Y} &= \mathfrak{L}_{A,X,Y} & \check{R}^A_{X,Y} &= \mathfrak{R}_{X,Y,A} \end{aligned}$$

are $\oplus$ -functors which are compatible with a^+ and c .

(Ann-2) For all $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (AB)(X \oplus Y) & \xleftarrow{a_{A,B,X \oplus Y}} A(B(X \oplus Y)) & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \check{L}^B} A(BX \oplus BY) \\ \check{L}^{AB} \downarrow & & \downarrow \check{L}^A \\ (AB)X \oplus (AB)Y & \xleftarrow{a_{A,B,X} \oplus a_{A,B,Y}} & A(BX) \oplus A(BY) \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \oplus Y)(BA) & \xrightarrow{a_{X \oplus Y, B, A}} ((X \oplus Y)B)A & \xrightarrow{\check{R}^B \otimes id_A} (XB \oplus YB)A \\ \check{R}^{BA} \downarrow & & \downarrow \check{R}^A \\ X(BA) \oplus Y(BA) & \xrightarrow{a_{X,B,A} \oplus a_{Y,B,A}} & (XB)A \oplus (YB)A \end{array} \quad (1.1')$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A(X \oplus Y))B & \xleftarrow{a_{A,X \oplus Y, B}} A((X \oplus Y)B) & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \check{R}^B} A(XB \oplus YB) \\ \check{L}^A \otimes id_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \check{L}^A \\ (AX \oplus AY)B & \xrightarrow{\check{R}^B} (AX)B \oplus (AY)B & \xleftarrow{a \oplus a} A(XB) \oplus A(YB) \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \oplus B)X \oplus (A \oplus B)Y & \xleftarrow{\check{L}^{A \oplus B}} (A \oplus B)(X \oplus Y) & \xrightarrow{\check{R}^{X \oplus Y}} A(X \oplus Y) \oplus B(X \oplus Y) \\ \check{R}^X \oplus \check{R}^Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \check{L}^A \oplus \check{L}^B \\ (AX \oplus BX) \oplus (AY \oplus BY) & \xrightarrow{v} & (AX \oplus AY) \oplus (BX \oplus BY) \end{array} \quad (1.3)$$

commute, where $v = v_{U,V,Z,T} : (U \oplus V) \oplus (Z \oplus T) \longrightarrow (U \oplus Z) \oplus (V \oplus T)$ is the unique functor built from a^+, c, id in the monoidal symmetric category $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus)$.

(Ann-3) For the unity object $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ of the operation $\oplus$, the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1(X \oplus Y) & \xrightarrow{\check{L}^1} & 1X \oplus 1Y \\ & \searrow l_{X \oplus Y} & \swarrow l_X \oplus l_Y \\ & X \oplus Y & \end{array} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X \oplus Y)1 & \xrightarrow{\check{R}^1} & X1 \oplus Y1 \\
& \searrow r_{X \oplus Y} & \swarrow r_X \oplus r_Y \\
& X \oplus Y &
\end{array} \tag{1.4'}$$

commute.

Remark. The commutative diagrams (1.1), (1.1') and (1.2), respectively, mean that:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_{A,B,-}) : L^A.L^B &\longrightarrow L^{AB} \\
(a_{-,A,B}) : R^{AB} &\longrightarrow R^A.R^B \\
(a_{A,-,B}) : L^A.R^B &\longrightarrow R^B.L^A
\end{aligned}$$

are $\oplus$ -functors.

The diagram (1.3) shows that the family $(\check{L}_{X,Y}^Z)_Z = (\mathcal{L}_{-,X,Y})$ is an $\oplus$ -functor between the $\oplus$ -functors $Z \mapsto Z(X \oplus Y)$ and $Z \mapsto ZX \oplus ZY$, and the family $(\check{R}_{A,B}^C)_C = (\mathcal{R}_{A,B,-})$ is an $\oplus$ -functor between the functors $C \mapsto (A \oplus B)C$ and $C \mapsto AC \oplus BC$.

The diagram (1.4) (resp. (1.4')) shows that l (resp. r) is an $\oplus$ -functor from L^1 (resp. R^1) to the unitivity functor of the $\oplus$ -category $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.2. The axiomatics of a ring category

A ring category is a category $\mathcal{R}$ equipped with two monoidal structures $\oplus, \otimes$ (which include corresponding associativity morphisms $a_{A,B,C}^\oplus, a_{A,B,C}^\otimes$ and unit objects denoted $0, 1$) together with natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{A,B} : A \oplus B &\rightarrow B \oplus A, & v_{A,B,C} : A \otimes (B \oplus C) &\rightarrow (A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes C) \\
w_{A,B,C} : (A \oplus B) \otimes C &\rightarrow (A \otimes C) \oplus (B \otimes C), \\
x_A : A \otimes 0 &\rightarrow 0, & y_A : 0 \otimes A &\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

These isomorphisms are required to satisfy the following conditions.

K1($\bullet \oplus \bullet$) The isomorphisms $u_{A,B}$ define on $\mathcal{R}$ a structure of a symmetric monoidal category, i.e., they form a braiding and $u_{A,B}u_{B,A} = 1$.

K2($\bullet \otimes (\bullet \oplus \bullet)$) For any objects A, B, C the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes (B \oplus C) & \xrightarrow{v_{A,B,C}} & (A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes C) \\
A \otimes u_{B,C} \downarrow & & \downarrow u_{A \otimes B, A \otimes C} \\
A \otimes (C \oplus B) & \xrightarrow{v_{A,C,B}} & (A \otimes C) \oplus (A \otimes B)
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

K3($(\bullet \oplus \bullet) \otimes \bullet$) For any objects A, B, C the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A \oplus B) \otimes C & \xrightarrow{w_{A,B,C}} & (A \otimes C) \oplus (B \otimes C) \\
u_{A,B} \otimes C \downarrow & & \downarrow u_{A \otimes C, B \otimes C} \\
(B \oplus A) \otimes C & \xrightarrow{w_{B,A,C}} & (B \otimes C) \oplus (A \otimes C)
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

K4($(\bullet \otimes \bullet \oplus \bullet) \otimes \bullet$) For any objects A, B, C, D the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(A \oplus (B \oplus C))D & \xrightarrow{w_{A,B \oplus C,D}} & AD \oplus ((B \oplus C)D) & \xrightarrow{AD \oplus w_{B,C,D}} & AD \oplus (BD \oplus CD) \\
a_{A,B,C}^\oplus \otimes D \downarrow & & & & \downarrow a_{AD,BD,CD}^\oplus \\
((A \oplus B) \oplus C)D & \xrightarrow{w_{A \oplus B,C,D}} & (A \oplus B)D \oplus CD & \xrightarrow{w_{A,B,D} \oplus CD} & (AD \oplus BD) \oplus CD
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

$K5(\bullet \otimes (\bullet \oplus \bullet \oplus \bullet))$ For any objects A, B, C, D the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A(B \oplus (C \oplus D)) & \xrightarrow{v_{A,B,C \oplus D}} & AB \oplus A(C \oplus D) & \xrightarrow{AB \oplus v_{A,C,D}} & AB \oplus (AC \oplus AD) \\
 \downarrow A \otimes a_{B,C,D}^{\oplus} & & & & \downarrow a_{AB,AC,AD}^{\oplus} \\
 A((B \oplus C) \oplus D) & \xrightarrow{v_{A,B \oplus C,D}} & A(B \oplus C) \oplus AD & \xrightarrow{v_{A,B,C \oplus AD}} & (AB \oplus AC) \oplus AD
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$K6(\bullet \otimes \bullet \otimes (\bullet \oplus \bullet))$ For any objects A, B, C, D the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A(B(C \oplus D)) & \xrightarrow{A \otimes v_{B,C,D}} & A(BC \oplus BD) & \xrightarrow{v_{A,BC,BD}} & A(BC) \oplus A(BD) \\
 \downarrow a_{A,B,C \oplus D}^{\otimes} & & & & \downarrow a_{A,B,C}^{\otimes} \oplus a_{A,B,D}^{\otimes} \\
 (AB)(C \oplus D) & \xrightarrow{v_{AB,C,D}} & (AB)C \oplus (AB)D & &
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$K7((\bullet \oplus \bullet) \otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet)$ Similar to the above.

$K8(\bullet \otimes (\bullet \oplus \bullet) \otimes \bullet)$ Similar to the above.

$K9((\bullet \oplus \bullet) \otimes (\bullet \oplus \bullet))$ For any objects A, B, C, D the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (A \oplus B)(C \oplus D) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A(C \oplus D) \oplus B(C \oplus D) \xrightarrow{\quad} (AC \oplus AD) \oplus (BC \oplus BD) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (A \oplus B)C \oplus (A \oplus B)D & & ((AC \oplus AD) \oplus BC) \oplus BD \\
 \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 (AC \oplus BC) \oplus (AD \oplus BD) & & (AC \oplus (AD \oplus BC)) \oplus BD \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 ((AC \oplus BC) \oplus AD) \oplus BD & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (AC \oplus (BC \oplus AD)) \oplus BD
 \end{array}$$

is commutative (the notation for arrows have been omitted, they are obvious).

$K10(0 \otimes 0)$ The maps $x_0, y_0 : 0 \otimes 0 \rightarrow 0$ coincide.

$K11(0 \otimes (\bullet \oplus \bullet))$ For any objects A, B the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 \otimes (A \oplus B) & \xrightarrow{v_{0,A,B}} & (0 \otimes A) \oplus (0 \otimes B) \\
 \downarrow \gamma_{A \oplus B} & & \downarrow \gamma_A \oplus \gamma_B \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{l_0^{\oplus} = r_0^{\oplus}} & 0 \oplus 0
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$K12((\bullet \oplus \bullet) \otimes 0)$ Similar to the above.

$K13(0 \otimes 1)$ The maps $y_1, r_0^{\otimes} : 0 \otimes 1 \rightarrow 0$ coincide.

$K14(1 \otimes 0)$ Similar to the above.

$K15(0 \otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet)$ For any objects A, B the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 \otimes (A \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{a_{0,A,B}^{\otimes}} & (0 \otimes A) \otimes B \\
 \downarrow \gamma_{A \otimes B} & & \downarrow \gamma_A \otimes \gamma_B \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma_B} & 0 \otimes B
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$K16(\bullet \otimes 0 \otimes \bullet), (\bullet \otimes \bullet \otimes 0)$ For any objects A, B the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes (0 \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{a_{A,0,B}^\otimes} & (A \otimes 0) \otimes B \\
 \downarrow A \otimes y_B & & \downarrow x_A \otimes B \\
 A \otimes 0 & \xrightarrow{x_A} 0 \xleftarrow{y_B} & 0 \otimes B
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes (B \otimes 0) & \xrightarrow{a_{A,B,0}^\otimes} & (A \otimes B) \otimes 0 \\
 \downarrow A \otimes x_B & & \downarrow x_{A \otimes B} \\
 A \otimes 0 & \xrightarrow{x_A} & 0
 \end{array}$$

are commutative.

$K17(\bullet(0 \oplus \bullet))$ For any objects A, B the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes (0 \oplus B) & \xrightarrow{v_{A,0,B}} & (A \otimes 0) \oplus (A \otimes B) \\
 \downarrow A \otimes l_B^\oplus & & \downarrow x_A \oplus (A \otimes B) \\
 A \otimes B & \xleftarrow{l_{A \otimes B}^\oplus} & 0 \oplus (A \otimes B)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$K18((0 \oplus \bullet) \otimes \bullet), (\bullet \otimes (\bullet \oplus 0)), ((\bullet \oplus 0) \otimes \bullet)$ Similar to the above.

3 The relation between an Ann-category and a ring category

In this section, we will prove that the axiomatics of a ring category, without K10, can be deduced from the one of an Ann-category. First, we can see that, the functor morphisms $a^\oplus, a^\otimes, u, l^\oplus, r^\oplus, v, w$, in Definition 2 are, respectively, the functor morphisms $a_+, a, c, g, d, \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R}$ in Definition 1. Isomorphisms x_A, y_A coincide with isomorphisms $\hat{L}^A, \hat{R}^A$ referred in Proposition 1.

We now prove that diagrams which commute in a ring category also do in an Ann-category.

K1 obviously follows from (ii) in the definition of an Ann-category.

The commutative diagrams K2, K3, K4, K5 are indeed the compatibility of functor isomorphisms $(L^A, \check{L}^A), (R^A, \check{R}^A)$ with the constraints a_+, c (the axiom Ann-1).

The diagrams K5 – K9, respectively, are indeed the ones in (Ann-2). Particularly, K9 is indeed the decomposition of (1.3) where the morphism v is replaced by its definition diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (P \oplus Q) \oplus (R \oplus S) & \xrightarrow{a_+} & ((P \oplus Q) \oplus R) \oplus S & \xleftarrow{a_+ \oplus S} & (P \oplus (Q \oplus R)) \oplus S \\
 \downarrow v & & & & \downarrow (P \oplus c) \oplus S \\
 (P \oplus R) \oplus (Q \oplus S) & \xrightarrow{a_+} & ((P \oplus R) \oplus Q) \oplus S & \xleftarrow{a_+ \oplus S} & (P \oplus (R \oplus Q)) \oplus S
 \end{array}$$

The proof for K17, K18

Lemma 3.1. Let P, P' be Gr-categories, $(a_+, (0, g, d)), (a'_+, (0', g', d'))$ be respective constraints, and $(F, \check{F}) : P \rightarrow P'$ be $\oplus$ -functor which is compatible with (a_+, a'_+) . Then $(F, \check{F})$ is compatible with the unitivity constraints $(0, g, d), (0', g', d')$.

First, the isomorphism $\widehat{F} : F0 \rightarrow 0'$ is determined by the composition

$$u = F0 \oplus F0 \xleftarrow{\widehat{F}} F(0 \oplus 0) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F0 \xleftarrow{g'} 0' \oplus F0.$$

Since $F0$ is a regular object, there exists uniquely the isomorphism $\widehat{F} : F0 \rightarrow 0'$ such that $\widehat{F} \oplus id_{F0} = u$. Then, we may prove that $\widehat{F}$ satisfies the diagrams in the definition of the compatibility of the $\oplus$ -functor F with the unitivity constraints.

Proposition 1. *In an Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$, there exist uniquely isomorphisms*

$$\hat{L}^A : A \otimes 0 \longrightarrow 0, \quad \hat{R}^A : 0 \otimes A \longrightarrow 0$$

such that the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} AX & \xleftarrow{L^A(g)} & A(0 \oplus X) \\ \uparrow g & & \downarrow \tilde{L}^A \\ 0 \oplus AX & \xleftarrow{\hat{L}^A \oplus id} & A0 \oplus AX \end{array} & (2.1) & \begin{array}{ccc} AX & \xleftarrow{L^A(d)} & A(X \oplus 0) \\ \uparrow d & & \downarrow \tilde{L}^A \\ AX \oplus 0 & \xleftarrow{id \oplus \hat{L}^A} & AX \oplus A0 \end{array} \\ & & (2.1') \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} AX & \xleftarrow{R^A(g)} & (0 \oplus X)A \\ \uparrow g & & \downarrow \tilde{R}^A \\ 0 \oplus AX & \xleftarrow{\hat{R}^A \oplus id} & 0A \oplus XA \end{array} & (2.2) & \begin{array}{ccc} AX & \xleftarrow{R^A(d)} & (X \oplus 0)A \\ \uparrow d & & \downarrow \tilde{R}^A \\ AX \oplus 0 & \xleftarrow{id \oplus \hat{R}^A} & XA \oplus 0A \end{array} \\ & & (2.2') \end{array}$$

commute, i.e., L^A and R^A are U -functors respect to the operation $\oplus$.

Proof. Since $(L^A, \check{L}^A)$ are $\oplus$ -functors which are compatible with the associativity constraint $a^\oplus$ of the Picard category $(\mathcal{A}, \oplus)$, it is also compatible with the unitivity constraint $(0, g, d)$ thanks to Lemma 1. That means there exists uniquely the isomorphism $\hat{L}^A$ satisfying the diagrams (2.1) and (2.1'). The proof for $\hat{R}^A$ is similar. The diagrams commute in Proposition 1 are indeed K17, K18. $\square$

The proof for 15, K16

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(F, \tilde{F}), (G, \tilde{G})$ be $\oplus$ -functors between $\oplus$ -categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ which are compatible with the constraints $(0, g, d), (0', g', d')$ and $\tilde{F} : F(0) \rightarrow 0', \tilde{G} : G(0) \rightarrow 0'$ are respective isomorphisms. If $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ in an $\oplus$ -morphism such that α_0 is an isomorphism, then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & G0 \\ & \searrow \tilde{F} & \swarrow \tilde{G} \\ & 0' & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \xrightarrow{id \oplus u_0} & & & & \\ & & \text{(I)} & & & & \\ 0' \oplus F0 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{F} \oplus id} & F0 \oplus F0 & \xrightarrow{u_0 \oplus u_0} & G0 \oplus G0 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{G} \oplus id} & 0' \oplus G0 \\ \uparrow g' & & \uparrow \tilde{F} & & \uparrow \tilde{G} & & \uparrow g' \\ & \text{(II)} & & \text{(III)} & & \text{(IV)} & \\ F0 & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(0 \oplus 0) & \xrightarrow{u_0 \oplus 0} & G(0 \oplus 0) & \xrightarrow{G(g)} & G0 \\ & & \text{(V)} & & & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{u_0} & & & & \end{array}$$

In this diagram, (II) and (IV) commute thanks to the compatibility of $\oplus$ -functors $(F, \check{F})$, $(G, \check{G})$ with the unitivity constraints; (III) commutes since u is a $\oplus$ -morphism; (V) commutes thanks to the naturality of g' . Therefore, (I) commutes, i.e.,

$$\check{G} \circ u_0 \oplus u_0 = \check{F} \oplus u_0.$$

Since $F0$ is a regular object, $\check{G} \circ u_0 = \check{F}$. □

Proposition 3.3. *For any objects $X, Y \in \text{ob}\mathcal{A}$ the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes (Y \otimes 0) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \hat{L}^Y} & X \otimes 0 \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \hat{L}^X \\ (X \otimes Y) \otimes 0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{L}^{XY}} & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 \otimes (X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\hat{R}^{XY}} & 0 \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \hat{R}^Y \\ (0 \otimes X) \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\hat{R}^X \otimes id} & 0 \otimes Y \end{array} \quad (2.3')$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes (0 \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{a} & (X \otimes 0) \otimes Y \\ \downarrow id \otimes \hat{R}^Y & & \downarrow \hat{L}^X \otimes id \\ X \otimes 0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{L}^X} & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{\hat{R}^Y} & 0 \otimes Y \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

commute.

Proof. To prove the first diagram commutative, let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes (Y \otimes 0) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \hat{L}^Y} & X \otimes 0 \\ \downarrow a & \searrow \hat{L}^X \circ L^Y & \downarrow \hat{L}^X \\ (X \otimes Y) \otimes 0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{L}^{XY}} & 0 \end{array}$$

According to the axiom (1.1), $(a_{X,Y,Z})_Z$ is an $\oplus$ -morphism from the functor $L = L^X \circ L^Y$ to the functor $G = L^{XY}$. Therefore, from Lemma 2, (II) commutes. (I) commutes thanks to the determination of $\hat{L}$ of the composition $L = L^X \circ L^Y$. So the outside commutes.

The second diagram is proved similarly, thanks to the axiom (1.1'). To prove that the diagram (2.4) commutes, let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes (0 \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{a} & (X \otimes 0) \otimes Y \\ \downarrow id \otimes \hat{R}^Y & \searrow \hat{H} & \downarrow \hat{L}^X \otimes id \\ X \otimes 0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{L}^X} & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{\hat{R}^Y} & 0 \otimes Y \end{array}$$

where $H = L^X \circ R^Y$ and $K = R^Y \circ L^X$. Then (II) and (III) commute thanks to the determination of the isomorphisms H and K . From the axiom (1.2), $(a_{X,Y,Z})_Z$ is an $\oplus$ -morphism from the functor H to the functor K . So from Lemma 2, (I) commutes. Therefore, the outside commutes. The diagrams in Proposition 2 are indeed K15, K16. □

Proof for K11

Proposition 3.4. *In an Ann-category, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \oplus 0 & \xrightarrow{g_0 = d_0} & 0 \\ \uparrow \hat{R}^X \oplus \hat{R}^Y & & \uparrow \hat{R}^{XY} \\ (0 \otimes X) \oplus (0 \otimes Y) & \xleftarrow{\hat{L}^0} & 0 \otimes (X \oplus Y) \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

commutes.

Proof. Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (A \oplus 0)(B \oplus C) & \xrightarrow{d_A \otimes id} & A(B \oplus C) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \\
 \downarrow \check{L}^{A \oplus 0} & & \downarrow \check{L}^A & & \\
 (A \oplus 0)B \oplus (A \oplus 0)C & \xrightarrow{(d_A \otimes id) \oplus (d_A \otimes id)} & AB \oplus AC & & \\
 \downarrow \check{R}^B \oplus \check{R}^C & & \uparrow d_{AB} \oplus d_{AC} & & \\
 (AB \oplus 0B) \oplus (AC \oplus 0C) & \xrightarrow{(id \oplus \hat{R}^B) \oplus (id \oplus \hat{R}^C)} & (AB \oplus 0) \oplus (AC \oplus 0) & & \\
 \downarrow v & & \downarrow v & & \\
 (AB \oplus AC) \oplus (0B \oplus 0C) & \xrightarrow{(id \oplus id) \oplus (\hat{R}^B \oplus \hat{R}^C)} & (AB \oplus AC) \oplus (0 \oplus 0) & & \\
 \uparrow \check{L}^A \oplus \check{L}^0 & & \uparrow \check{L}^A \oplus d_0^{-1} & & \\
 A(B \oplus C) \oplus 0(B \oplus C) & \xrightarrow{f'_A \oplus id} & A(B \oplus C) \oplus 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} &
 \end{array}
 \quad (2.6)$$

In this diagram, (V) commutes thanks to the axiom I(1.3), (I) commutes thanks to the functorial property of $\mathfrak{L}$; the outside and (II) commute thanks to the compatibility of the functors $R^{B \oplus C}, R^B, R^C$ with the unitivity constraint $(0, g, d)$; (III) commutes thanks to the functorial property v ; (VI) commutes thanks to the coherence for the ACU-functor $(L^A, \check{L}^A)$. So (IV) commutes. Note that $A(B \oplus C)$ is a regular object respect to the operation $\oplus$, so the diagram (2.5) commutes. We have K11. $\square$

Similarly, we have K12.

Proof for K13, K14

Proposition 3.5. *In an Ann-category, we have*

$$\hat{L}^1 = l_0, \hat{R}^1 = r_0.$$

Proof. We will prove the first equation, the second one is proved similarly. Let us consider the diagram (2.7). In this diagram, the outside commutes thanks to the compatibility of $\oplus$ -functor $(L^1, \check{L}^1)$ with the unitivity constraint $(0, g, d)$ respect to the operation $\oplus$; (I) commutes thanks to the functorial property of the isomorphism l ; (II) commutes thanks to the functorial property of g ; (III) obviously commutes; (IV) commutes thanks to the axiom I(1.4). So (V) commutes, i.e.,

$$\hat{L}^1 \oplus id_{1,0} = l_0 \oplus id_{1,0}$$

Since 1.0 is a regular object respect to the operation $\oplus$, $\hat{L}^1 = l_0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 1.0 & \xleftarrow{L^1(g_0) = id \otimes g_0} & 1.(0 \oplus 0) & & \\
 \downarrow l_0 & & \downarrow l_{0 \oplus 0} & & \\
 0 & \xleftarrow{g_0} & 0 \oplus 0 & & \\
 \downarrow g_0 & & \downarrow id & & \\
 0 \oplus 0 & \xrightarrow{id} & 0 \oplus 0 & & \\
 \uparrow id \oplus l_0 & & \uparrow l_0 \oplus l_0 & & \\
 0 \oplus (1.0) & \xleftarrow{\hat{L}^1 \oplus id} & (1.0) \oplus (1.0) & &
 \end{array}
 \quad (2.7)$$

We have K14.

Similarly, we have K13. $\square$

Definition 3.6. An Ann-category $\mathcal{A}$ is strong if $\widehat{L}^0 = \widehat{R}^0$.

All the above results can be stated as follows

Proposition 3.7. Each strong Ann-category is a ring category.

Remark 3.8. In our opinion, in the axiomatics of a ring category, the compatibility of the distributivity constraint with the unitivity constraint $(1, l, r)$ respect to the operation $\otimes$ is necessary, i.e., the diagrams of (Ann-3) should be added.

Moreover, if the symmetric monoidal structure of the operation $\oplus$ is replaced with the symmetric categorical groupoid structure, then each ring category is an Ann-category.

An open question: May the equation $\widehat{L}^0 = \widehat{R}^0$ be proved to be independent in an Ann-category?

References

- [1] A. Frölich and C. T. C. Wall, *Graded monoidal categories*, Compositio Mathematica, tom 28, No 3 (1974), 229-285.
- [2] M. M. Kapranov and V. A. Voevodsky, *2-Categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations*, Proc. Symp Pure Math. 56 (1994), par 2,177-259.
- [3] M. L. Laplaza, *Coherence for distributivity*, Lecture Notes in Math, 281 (1972), 29-65.
- [4] M. L. Laplaza, *Coherence for categories with group structure: an antenative approach*, J. Algebra, 84 (1983), 305-323.
- [5] S. Mac Lane, *Homologie des anneaux et des modules*, Collque de Topologie algebrique. Louvain (1956), 55-80.
- [6] N. T. Quang, *Introduction to Ann-categories*, J. Math. Hanoi, No.15, 4 (1987), 14-24.(arXiv:math. CT/0702588v2 21 Feb 2007)
- [7] N. T. Quang, *Structure of Ann-categories and Mac Lane-Shukla cohomology of rings*, (Russian) Abelian groups and modues, No. 11,12, Tomsk. Gos. Univ., Tomsk (1994), 166-183.
- [8] N. T. Quang, *Cohomological classification of Ann-categories*, arXiv:math. 2009.
- [9] N. Saavedra Rivano, *Categoryes Tannakiennes*, Lecture Notes in Math.vol. 265, Spriger-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
- [10] U.Shukla, *Cohomologie des algebras associatives*. Ann.Sci.Ecole Norm.,Sup.,7 (1961), 163-209.
- [11] H. X. Sinh, *Gr-categories*, Universite Paris VII, Thèse de doctorat (1975).